UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,830	04/07/2004	Jyh-Perng Huang	JIIL08	8496
J.C. Patents	7590 04/09/2007		EXAM	INER
Suite 250			PATEL, TAJASH D	
4 Venture Irvine, CA 926	18		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3765	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/09/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<u> </u>			(NA
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
<i>y</i>	10/820,830	HUANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tejash D. Patel	3765	···
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	<u>.</u> 28/06.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	· - ·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is of	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		*	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	` ',		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
•			
·			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal f		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		
Datast and Tandamed, Office			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lasch et al (US 6,677,028) in view of Magine et al. (US 6,856,578). Lasch et al. (hereinafter Lasch) discloses a vest, col. 21, lines 42 including a top layer/face member (52, 80) having a plastic film layer of a transparent form, col. 13, lines 24-26, a middle layer with a single woven layer containing plastic optical fibers in a bundle form (86) at free end thereof as shown in figures 8 and 9b. Further, a bottom layer is of a web like woven layer, col. 19, lines 1-17. Also, the plastic optical fibers are woven in both lengthwise and crosswise as shown in figure 9b. However, Lasch does not the top layer having indicia thereon and the middle layer being coupled to the LED unit.

Magine et al. (hereinafter Magine) discloses a transparent layer (38) having indicia thereon which is connected to a LED unit, col. 9, line 65- col. 10, line 14.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the top layer of Lasch from a transparent material having indicia thereon and being connected to an LED unit

Art Unit: 3765

as taught by Magine as means for illuminating optical fibers of the vest or as required for a particular application thereof.

Further, with regard to claims 3, 4 and 5, col. 18, lines 47-52 of Lasch discloses that the woven plastic fibers of the middle layer can be made of desired composition of filaments.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at either the lengthwise, crosswise or both the fiber bundles of the middle layer of Lasch when viewed with Magine can be made of the desired material as required for a particular application or end use thereof.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment and arguments filed on November 28, 2006 has been considered. In view of such, a newly discovered prior art reference has prompted this office action to be made newnon FINAL and the arguments are moot.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993.

The fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

March 30, 2007

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER